1 Curtis E. Allen, Esq., SBN 187748 George S. Khoury, Esq., SBN 269738 (Of Counsel) 2 LAW OFFICE OF CURTIS ALLEN 303 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 600 3 Redwood City, CA 94065 Mobile 650 868 6620; F 650 362 1864 Curtis@curtisallenlaw.com 5 Attorney for Plaintiff Giovanni Guadagnini 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Giovanni Guadagnini 11 Case Number: 3:21-cv-01139-AGT 12 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER 13 FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF Plaintiff(s), **ENTIRE ACTION** 14 15 Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 41(a)(2) VS. 16 First Washington Realty, Inc.; Safeway; Dollar Tree; GRI Fairmont, LLC; and DOES 1) 17 through 20, inclusive, HON ALEX G. TSE 18 Defendant(s). 19 20 All parties to the above-entitled action (collectively the "Parties"), by and through their 21 respective counsel of record, stipulate to the dismissal of the present action with prejudice 22 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) as follows: 23 When parties to an action stipulate to the dismissal of that action pursuant to Federal Rule 24 of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), the parties' compliance with the terms of a settlement agreement (or 25 the court's retention of jurisdiction over the settlement contract) may, in the Court's discretion, be 26 one of the terms set forth in a Court order dismissing the action. (Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. 27 Co. of America, 511 U.S. 375, 381, 114 S. Ct. 1673, 128 L. Ed. 2d 391 (1994).) 28 Page 1 of 4

STIPULATION AND ORDER (3:21-cv-01139-AGT)

In an effort to conserve judicial resources, as well as considerable time and expense by each Party, and in order to establish certainty of outcome for all Parties, all Parties to this action have reached settlement and entered into a written settlement agreement resolving the above-captioned action (the "Settlement Agreement").

Given the above, and pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Parties stipulate that the Court may enter an Order dismissing this entire action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) with prejudice, as set forth below (the "Order"). The Parties agree that the Order may contain a provision whereby the United States District Court, Northern District of California retains jurisdiction through August 31, 2024 to resolve any disputes between the Parties which may arise regarding compliance with the Settlement Agreement. Except for retaining jurisdiction to resolve disputes regarding compliance with the Settlement Agreement, the dismissal of the present action shall be with prejudice.

The Parties believe good cause exists to enter this stipulation and for the Court to enter an Order as set forth above. Such an Order will end litigation of the underlying action with an outcome that is certain, thus conserving judicial resources as well as considerable time and expense by each Party. Retaining jurisdiction over the Settlement Agreement as set forth above will establish a clear and less expensive and less time-consuming path toward resolution of any disputes that may arise regarding the Settlement Agreement. Should the Court decide not to retain jurisdiction, needless Court and Party resources will be spent filing a new action to enforce any dispute which might arise over compliance with the Settlement Agreement.

Respectfully Submitted by:

Law Office of Curtis Allen

October 11, 2022

__//s_Curtis Allen_ Curtis E. Allen, Attorney for Plaintiff

Page **2** of **4** STIPULATION AND ORDER (3:21-cv-01139-AGT)

1						
2		Law Offices of Ronald K. Brown				
3		Law Offices of Rolland R. Brown				
4	October 11, 2022	_//s_ Ronald K. Brown				
5		Ronald K. Brown, Jr. Attorney for Defendant First Washington Realty,				
6		Inc., and Defendant GRI Fairmont,				
7		LLC				
8						
9		Seyfarth Shaw LLP				
10		<i>u</i>				
11	October 11, 2022	_//s_ Ashley Arnett Kristina M. Launey				
12		Ashley N. Arnett Attorneys for				
13		Defendant Safeway				
14						
15		The Karlin Law Firm LLP				
16	0.4.111, 2022	//- D T. D				
17	October 11, 2022	_//sRex T. Reeves Rex T. Reeves, Attorney for				
18		Defendant Dollar Tree Stores, Inc., A Virginia Corporation (sued herein				
19		as "Dollar Tree")				
20	I Curtic E Allen ettect that narmission to file t	this document through ECE has been				
21	I, Curtis E. Allen, attest that permission to file this document through ECF has been obtained from all defense counsel listed in this document.					
22	October 11, 2022	_//s Curtis Allen				
23		Curtis E. Allen, Attorney for Plaintiff				
24		riamim				
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Page 3 of 4					

Page **3** of **4** STIPULATION AND ORDER (3:21-cv-01139-AGT)

<u>ORDER</u>

Having reviewed and considered the Stipulation and Proposed Order submitted by all parties to this action (the "Parties") for the dismissal of the present action in its entirety with prejudice, the Court hereby grants the request of the Parties for the issuance of an order of dismissal and the retention of jurisdiction over this matter as set forth in the Stipulation. The present action is hereby dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 41(a)(2), with prejudice, as set forth herein. Up to but not beyond August 31, 2024, the United States District Court, Northern District of California retains jurisdiction to resolve any disputes between the Parties which may arise regarding compliance with the Settlement Agreement entered into by the Parties resolving this action. Except for retaining jurisdiction to resolve any disputes regarding compliance with said Settlement Agreement up to but not beyond August 31, 2024, the dismissal of the present action is with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

1	\Box	_	۴.	1	ı.
		ลา	Γe	'n	ľ

ALEX G. TSE, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Page 4 of 4 STIPULATION AND ORDER (3:21-cv-01139-AGT)